

SCALING-UP RENEWABLE ENERGY PROGRAM (SREP) COUNTRY IP DEVELOPMENT EXPERIENCE KENYA

The SREP Pilot Countries Workshop
Hague, Netherlands
February 26-27, 2015



Presentation by
Erastus W. Wahome





Kenya at a Glance

Location : East Coast of Africa
Capital : Nairobi
Government type: Democratic Republic
Area: 582,646 sq. km
Population: 41.8million (2014)
GDP: \$55.2 billion (2014)
Growth rate: 5.7% (2014)





Introduction & Rationale

- Kenya is one of the former six Pilot Countries selected to SREP.
- Kenya's Investment Plan (IP) in line with national renewable energy development strategy and Vision 2030
- GoK undertaking a major expansion of energy access to support planned higher levels of economic growth while reducing the impact of the energy sector on climate change.
- Programmatic approach to long-term transformation of Kenya's power system being undertaken through use of renewable energy, resulting in reduced GHG.
- IP focuses on investments and capacity-building likely to catalyze additional financing and attract private sector investment
- SREP supports GoK programmes through innovative business models to finance high-risk high-reward projects



Country IP Development Process

Constitution of SREP Task Force & Launch of IP Preparation Process - 2 weeks

Stakeholder Consultations, Shortlist and Selection of IP Projects, Development of Draft IP - 2 months

National Stakeholder Workshop and Incorporation of comments and Development of Revised IP - 2 weeks

Technical IP Validation Workshop - 1 week

Submission of Updated IP to SREP Process - 2 weeks



SREP Evaluation Process

Circulation and disclosure on GoK & CIF Websites

Peer review and joint MDB Comments

Presentation to SREP Sub-committee – Cape Town

Incorporation and responses to Comments from SREP partner countries and interest groups

Final Telecon and Approvals



Successes and Challenges

Successes

Rapid assembly of competent task force

Selection of 3 priority projects with highest transformation impact

Support from key stakeholders

Constructive feedback from peers & MDB

Videocon approval

Development of project implementation plans

Challenges

Selection of task force members

Agreement on shortlist

Accommodating and resolving divergent views

Protracted process - repeat queries, onerous demands, insensitive questions

Issues

Non representation of Private sector

Interest groups fronting specific projects/ technologies

Agreement on IP development process

Poor understanding of country perspective

Different approval schedules by multiple MDBs on same projects



THANK YOU

