Climate Investment Funds

SREP PIPELINE MANAGEMENT POLICY COMMENTS AND RESPONSES UNITED KINGDOM COMMENTS

I. Comments From United Kingdom (February 16, 2017)

Dear Zhihong,

Thanks for copying us into the replies to the questions from others.

Below are a few additional questions from the UK on the possibility (hopefully unfounded) that there are IT issues tomorrow. Answers ahead of the meeting would of course be welcome.

- It is not entirely clear from the paper that the reason the Sub-committee (e.g. in June 2016) asked for a pipeline management and cancelation policy was to address delays in bringing projects forward for approval.
- In June 16 the sub-committee requested the policy consider cancelation and in Dec 16 a list of project that could be cancelled was asked for. The paper is light (except in para 39/40 after MDB Board approval) on project cancelation. What is the case for keeping projects in a reserve pipeline indefinitely waiting for funding?
- It would be helpful to see more explicit link to projects that are in the reserve pipeline, to be considered for presentation by countries together with the MDBs to the Green Climate Fund. This would particularly be the case if PPGs were provided for new countries once there IPs are approved.
- This policy, if approved, will be very different from the other SCF programmes (FIP and PPCR) pipeline management and cancelation policies. What is the implication for moving forward with two different policies?

I look forward to raising these points and hearing responses tomorrow.



Ben Green | Climate and Environment Department | Department for International Development, Abercrombie House G75 8EA ⋈ email: b-green@dfid.gov.uk telephone: +44 (0) 1355 84 3648 mobile: +44 (0) 7990783968 url: www.dfid.gov.uk