

CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

SREP/SC.IS.2/CRP.5

March 8, 2012

Intersessional Meeting of the SREP Sub-Committee

Nairobi, Kenya

March 8-9, 2012

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION ON MEASURING RESULTS FROM SREP INVESTMENTS

CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

**Summary of discussion on Measuring Results
from SREP investments**

SREP pilot countries meeting

March 6, 2012



Country experiences – Common challenges

SREP results framework - design issues

- ❖ **Indicators:** too many, some indicators are not clear
- ❖ **Pre-defined results:** mismatch between SREP objectives in the results framework and SREP objectives in the investment plans
- ❖ **Methodologies:** not enough guidance on a lot of indicators
- ❖ **Baseline data:** lack of reliable data for many indicators

SREP results frameworks - technical/structural issues:

- ❖ **Capacity:** technical, financial and human resources in M&E are scarce in most SREP countries
- ❖ **Strategic coordination:** SREP investments require collaboration across sectors and themes => various players/actors

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework

CIF

Simplification

- ❖ **Results logic and co-benefits:** The relationship between results statements and co-benefit is clearer
- ❖ **Number of indicators:** The smaller number but more focused indicators is very much appreciated – much closer link between SREP results and related indicators

Indicators - Impact

- ❖ Impact indicator - **“Percentage (%) of additional energy supply that is from renewable energy sources”** - needs to be revised
 - ❖ Recommendation – change to: Impact indicator – **“Annual electricity output from RE - GWh”**

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework -

continued

Indicators – outcome

- ❖ Outcome indicator - **“Annual energy output from new RE (GWh)”** – The indicator is confusing
 - ❖ Recommendation – change to: **“Annual electricity output from RE delivered by SREP (GWh)”**
- ❖ Outcome indicator — **Number of women/men benefitting from improved energy access**”. Access to energy is not only access to electricity: separate indicators are needed according to electricity/household fuels. In addition, we need to capture the productive/social uses of energy.
 - ❖ Recommendation – change to: **“Number of women/men and community/businesses benefitting from improved energy access”**. The indicator would be disaggregated by men/women – electricity; men/women – household fuels; business/communities – electricity; business/communities - fuels .

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework -

continued

CIF

Capacity development

- ❖ Countries need support in setting up M&E systems in building capacity to collect and report data

M&E results reporting

- ❖ M&E reporting needs to consider early in the process of establishing an M&E system and MDBs and countries need to work closely together

Managing for Results – working together

CIF

Thank you!