## Climate Investment Funds

Results Frameworks
Forest Investment Program (FIP) – logic model
June 2010











## Existing Results Frameworks

### CIF

#### CTF, SREP, PPCR

**All different due to different styles** but all derived from common LFA source

PPCR: logical model and performance measurement framework

SREP: Results based logical framework approach

CTF: Hybrid logic model with focus on indicators

#### **Results Levels**

**Require harmonization**: common labels, structure, and presentation

**Require integration**: link projects – programs – funds – overall CIF

#### **Process**

Revisit intent of funds and programs from strategic planning perspective

Ensure results statements capture expected results with precision and clarity

Technical reformulation process to repackage existing (and FIP) frameworks into harmonized and integrated set of frameworks

#### Results Frameworks

### CIF

#### Purpose —

To provide the Trust Fund and respective Sub-Committees with a tool/instrument to monitor and evaluate the implementation of the Trust Funds and the targeted programs

#### Harmonization—

Harmonization means that all frameworks using the same labels, having the same structure, and having a similar presentation

#### Integration —


Integration means how do the various results frameworks relate to each other and "fit together". A results chain that links expected results at the overall CIF level with a cause and effect logic, to results at the CTF and targeted programs level.



#### 3 Step Process


- Agreement on results chains logic model
- Identification of indicators, targets, baselines – results frameworks
- Who is doing what and when? –
   Performance Measurement Strategies

### Results Framework Architecture



# FOREST INVESTMENT PROGRAM (FIP)





### Conclusion

### **CIF**

#### **Next Steps**

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT STRATEGIES

FIELD TESTING

MONITORING AND EVALUATION

THANK YOU!