

# SCALING-UP RENEWABLE ENERGY PROGRAM (SREP) COUNTRY IP DEVELOPMENT EXPERIENCE KENYA

Meeting of the SREP Pilot Countries  
Nairobi, Kenya  
March 5, 2012





# Kenya at a Glance

Location : East Coast of Africa  
Capital : Nairobi  
Government type: Democratic Republic  
Area: 582,646 sq. km  
Population: 37.6million (2012 )  
GDP: \$30 billion (2010)  
Growth rate: 5.6% (2010)





## Introduction & Rationale

---

- Kenya is one of the six Pilot Countries selected to SREP.
- Kenya's an Investment Plan (IP) in line with national renewable energy development strategy and Vision 2030
- GoK undertaking a major expansion of energy access to support planned higher levels of economic growth while reducing the impact of the energy sector on climate change.
- Programmatic approach to long-term transformation of Kenya's power system being undertaken through use of renewable energy, resulting in reduced GHG.
- IP focuses on investments and capacity-building likely to catalyze additional financing and attract private sector investment
- SREP supports GoK programmes through innovative business models to finance high-risk high-reward projects



# Country IP Development Process

**Constitution of SREP Task Force & Launch of IP Preparation Process - 2 weeks**

**Stakeholder Consultations, Shortlist and Selection of IP Projects, Development of Draft IP - 2 months**

**National Stakeholder Workshop and Incorporation of comments and Development of Revised IP - 2 weeks**

**Technical IP Validation Workshop - 1 week**

**Submission of Updated IP to SREP Process - 2 weeks**



## SREP Evaluation Process

Circulation and disclosure on GoK & CIF Websites

Peer review and joint MDB Comments

Presentation to SREP Sub-committee – Cape Town

Incorporation and responses to Comments from SREP partner countries and interest groups

Final Telecon and Approvals



# Successes and Challenges

## Successes

Rapid assembly of competent task force

Selection of 3 priority projects with highest transformation impact

Support from key stakeholders

Constructive feedback from peers & MDB

Videocon approval

Development of project implementation plans

## Challenges

Selection of task force members

Agreement on shortlist

Accommodating and resolving divergent views

Protracted process - repeat queries, onerous demands, insensitive questions

## Issues

Non representation of Private sector

Interest groups fronting specific projects/ technologies

Agreement on IP development process

Poor understanding of country perspective

Different approval schedules by multiple MDBs on same projects



THANK YOU

