

CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

FIP/SC.11/2
October 3, 2013

Meeting of the FIP Sub-Committee
Washington, D.C.
October 30, 2013

ANNOTATED PROVISIONAL AGENDA

AGENDA ITEM 1. OPENING

1. The meeting will be opened by the elected Co-Chairs, Mr. Musah Abu Juam, Sub-Committee member from Ghana, and Ms. Katie Berg, Sub-Committee member from the United States.

AGENDA ITEM 2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

2. A *Provisional Agenda* for consideration by the meeting has been circulated as document FIP/SC.11/1/Rev.1. The meeting is invited **to adopt** the agenda.

AGENDA ITEM 3. FIP SEMI-ANNUAL OPERATIONAL REPORT

3. The *FIP Semi-Annual Operational Report* (FIP/SC.11/3) has been prepared to provide the FIP Sub-Committee with a report on the status of FIP activities, including information on the status of country programming in FIP pilot countries.

4. Good progress has been made in advancing the work of the FIP in the pilot countries. With the impending endorsement of the FIP investment plan for Peru, the programming phase of the FIP has been completed. Three project and program proposals have been submitted to the Sub-Committee for approval of FIP funding. The Dedicated Grant Mechanism has moved into the preparation stage for the projects implementing the mechanism at the country level. The global component focusing on the overall management of the DGM and knowledge management is advancing as well.

5. The report highlights two strategic themes that are emerging from the FIP:

- a) there is need to agree on common expectations for the information to be included in project proposals submitted to the FIP Sub-Committee when FIP funding approval is being requested, as some members of the FIP Sub-Committee have voiced concerns with the lack of sufficient information in project proposals; and
- b) the delivery rate for project and program submissions to the FIP Sub-Committee for FIP funding remains lower than expected but semi-annual pipeline updates from the MDBs are becoming more realistic.

6. The Sub-Committee is invited **to review** the semi-annual operational report, **to provide**, if appropriate, guidance and feedback on the operations of the FIP and **to approve** the proposed decision.

AGENDA ITEM 4. FIP INVESTMENT PLAN FOR PERU

7. Document FIP/SC.11/4/Rev.1, *FIP Investment Plan for Peru*, presents the investment plan prepared by the Government of Peru, in collaboration with the MDBs. The investment plan presents the priority activities to be undertaken with FIP funding to support Peru's effort to address the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the context of REDD+.

8. The Sub-Committee is **invited to endorse** the investment plan as a basis for the further development of activities proposed in the investment plan.

AGENDA ITEM 5. REVIEW AND SELECTION OF CONCEPTS TO BE FINANCED FROM THE FIP PRIVATE SECTOR SET-ASIDE FIP

9. Document FIP/SC.11/5, *Review and selection of concepts to be financed from the FIP private sector set-aside*, presents the report of the independent FIP expert group on the proposals received under the FIP private sector set-aside.

10. In accordance with the procedures approved by the Sub-Committee for the set aside of funds to encourage private sector engagement, eleven proposals were received from interested parties in five pilot countries (Brazil, Burkina Faso, DRC, Ghana and Mexico) and one region (Africa). USD 56 million in near-zero interest credits is available under the set aside. The expert group met on September 16-19, 2013, to review the proposals and make recommendations to the Sub-Committee as to which concepts should be considered for the further development and FIP funding approval.

11. The FIP Sub-Committee is **invited to comment** on the report of the independent expert group and **endorse** those project concepts which should be further developed for FIP funding approval.

AGENDA ITEM 6. RESULTS MONITORING AND REPORTING IN THE FIP

12. During its meeting in May 2013, the FIP Sub-Committee reviewed document FIP/SC.10/5, *Approaches to Measuring and Reporting Results in endorsed FIP Investment Plans*, took note of the report's findings and requested the CIF Administrative Unit to:

- a) organize, a virtual meeting of FIP pilot countries to discuss, after internal consultations in each pilot country, emerging common indicators for measuring progress at the level of the FIP investment plan;
- b) organize a meeting or meetings, of a working group comprised of representatives from interested FIP pilot countries and contributor countries, the CIF Administrative Unit and the MDBs, to propose a few core indicators to be measured at the level of the investment plan, taking into account the results from the discussion among FIP countries;
- c) organize, during the next meeting of the FIP pilot, a session on FIP monitoring and reporting so as to allow further discussion and a recommendation of the proposed core indicators to the FIP Sub-Committee; and
- d) prepare for approval by the FIP Sub-Committee at its meeting in November 2013, core indicators to be measured at the investment plan level, with inputs from the

working group and taking into account the comments received during the meeting of FIP pilot countries.

13. Document, FIP/SC.11/6, *Results monitoring and reporting in the FIP*, takes into account the proposal from the FIP Sub-Committee working group and comments from the FIP pilot countries.

14. The document recognizes that the current FIP results framework and its adoption in the endorsed investment plans is the basis for mid-term and ex-post evaluation in the FIP pilot countries, invites pilot countries to revise their results frameworks to reflect a realistic set of results expected from FIP investments.

15. It is proposed that an [annual] [biannual] report by FIP pilot countries (represented by the office of the FIP country focal point) includes data and information on three categories, when possible:

- a) ***common themes*** to be reported on by all FIP pilot countries:
 - i. GHG emission reductions / enhancement of carbon stocks; and
 - ii. livelihoods co-benefits;
- b) ***other relevant co-benefit themes*** as they apply to the country investment plan:
 - i. biodiversity and other environmental services;
 - ii. governance;
 - iii. tenure, rights and access; and
 - iv. capacity development; and
- c) ***a narrative*** presenting information on:
 - i. five common topics to be [annual] [biannual] reported on by all FIP pilot countries; and
 - ii. other potential themes as agreed by the FIP Sub-Committee (not on an annual basis)¹.

16. The CIF Administrative Unit will provide further guidance on the reporting requirements for each category of reporting, a common format for reporting and a calendar for submissions of the reports.

¹ Every year, one or two other themes would be selected by the FIP Sub-Committee. Pilot countries may want to report on these additional themes using creative reporting tools such as blogs, videos or webinars.

17. The FIP Sub-Committee is **invited to comment** on the proposal and approve it.

AGENDA ITEM 7. APPROACHES AND CRITERIA FOR CONSIDERING POTENTIAL NEW PILOT COUNTRIES

18. The joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committee in May 2013, requested the CIF Administrative Unit to prepare, in collaboration with the MDB Committee, a note on a range of approaches and criteria and a transparent process that could guide the Committee's consideration of including new countries in the CIF program document FIP/SC.11/7, *Approaches and criteria for considering potential new pilot countries*, has been prepared to response to the request.

19. In considering the selection of new countries, it is recommended that the following general principles be followed:

- a) the decision making process should be transparent and based on clear criteria agreed by the CIF Committee;
- b) the selection of countries and activities should contribute to the core objectives of the program;
- c) the selection of the pilots should take into account the need to generate lessons in diverse situations and lead to innovative actions contributing to a robust learning program and demonstrating scaled up climate action; and
- d) countries selected should meet the minimum eligibility of the CIF (ODA eligible with an active MDB country program) and have the potential to successfully implement the CIF programs and achieve expected impacts.

20. The note includes general considerations and principles to guide the selection of new countries. Lessons learned from earlier CIF selection processes, are identified to inform the process and options are proposed for the process that could be followed to select new countries, including development of criteria and scorecards.

21. *Should additional resources be made available to a CIF program*, the Sub-Committee will need to consider whether those funds can best be utilized to provide additional resources to existing CIF pilot countries or programs to enhance impacts and scale up results or whether such funds should be allocated to allow additional countries to participate in the CIF programs.

22. The FIP Sub-Committee is **invited to comment** on the proposed approach.

AGENDA ITEM 8. OTHER BUSINESS

23. Members and the Co-Chairs may raise any other business under this agenda item, including any matters that may arise from the information documents presented to the meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 9. CLOSING

24. The meeting is scheduled to close at 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 30, 2013.