

Clean Technology Fund

First Round of Monitoring and Reporting on Results

www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/measuring-results


Toolkits for Monitoring and Reporting



CTF



SREP Monitoring and Reporting Toolkit



PPCR




SREP

Clean Technology Fund – Results (1)




Results reported for CTF activities from the MDB approval to June 30, 2013
Total actual achievements as a percentage of total targets



Clean Technology Fund – Results (2)




Leveraged Direct Funds by Source The percentages refer to the disaggregation of the total direct funds leveraged



Clean Technology Fund – Results (3)



Type of Installed Capacity Reported
Percentage of total installed



Technologies Included in Projects


Technology	Total Projects	Reported Results
Solar	5	1
Wind	7	3
Geothermal	2	1
Hydro	2	1
Mixed RE	7	2

Clean Technology Fund – Results (4)



Categories of Development Co-Benefits

Percentages represent the split of the 84 development co-benefit indicators into the categories.



Example: CTF Reporting



Table B: Monitoring and Reporting for CTF Private Sector Sub-Projects

Version 4.3 09.12.13

Date this report was submitted: mm/dd/yy

<country>	Program Title: Program ID (from the CTF pipeline): Private Sector Sub-Project Title: Implementing MDB: MDB Name Amount of CTF sub-project funding (million USD): \$32.0 Date of Approval of Sub-Project by the MDB: Reporting period covered in this sheet: From: mm/dd/2013 To: mm/dd/2014
Expected Sub-Project Reporting Closure Date: mm/dd/yy	

Please complete all cells colored

Core indicators	Unit	Sub-project target indicated at the time of MDB approval (cumulative over project lifetime)	Sub-project target indicated at the time of MDB approval (as of expected reporting closure date)	Report Year 2013	Report Year 2014	Report Year 2015	Report Year 2016	Report Year 2017	Report Year 2018	Report Year 2019	Total actual to date
				Cumulative until July 2013	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
B1. Tons of GHG emissions reduced or avoided	Tons of CO2 equivalent										
Provide assumptions and remarks related to estimation of GHG emissions reduced and/or avoided											
Total Project size in US million \$	million USD	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32	US\$m 32
B2. Volume of direct finance leveraged through CTF funding		US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -	US\$m -
MDB Name											
Other MDB (please specify)											
Government											
Private sector											
Bilateral											
Other											
Specify the source of direct finance (e.g., name of the private sector, bilateral agency and other) and the exchange rate used for non-USD finance											
B3. Installed capacity (MW) as a result of CTF interventions	MW			0 MW	0 MW						0 MW
Identify technology and methods of calculation											
B4. Number of additional passengers using low-carbon transport as a result of CTF	No. of people	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female											
Male											
Describe the type (car, bus, train, other) of low carbon transport and how passenger numbers have been calculated											
B5. Annual energy savings as a result of CTF interventions (GWh)	GWh			0 GWh	0 GWh						0 GWh
Comment on methods of calculation											
Development indicator(s): Please identify at least one indicator for development co-benefits. Reporting on development co-benefits must be done when information is available, not necessarily annually. At a minimum, at project completion.											
											0
											0
Describe the development co-benefits											

Please provide remarks, as appropriate, for any indirect GHG emissions reduction and indirect finance leveraged.

General comments and/or sub-project status (Optional)

THANK YOU!



www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/measuring-results