

# CTF Countries Meeting CTF Results Framework

Elvan Ongun  
Deputy Director General  
Undersecretariat of Treasury

Istanbul, Turkey  
November 3, 2012

# Key Messages

## General comments

- **Simplification** - Pilot countries welcome the simplification of the CTF results framework
- **National M&E systems** - Integrating the CIF results reporting requirements into the national system is key but some countries are still in the process to establish national M&E systems
- CTF countries need further clarification concerning:
  - ❑ The **timeline for M&E report** submission
  - ❑ **Roles and responsibilities** of MDBs and CTF country focal points
- **Private sector operations** - reporting on progress of implementation of investment plans requires that MDBs share their reports with the government

# Key Messages

## Specific comments on indicators

- **Impact indicator** – GHG emissions per unit GDP may not be best or most relevant indicator
- **Indicator B2 Volume of direct finance** - Leveraging could be a function of availability of funds locally / internationally, and may be temporary and not reflect the catalytic impact of CTF funding
- **Indicator B3 Installed capacity (MW)** – This is really an output indicator and not an outcome indicator. It would be better to report MWh generated
- **Indicator B4 Public transport** – Not all Investment Plans comprise transport sector interventions. Gender disaggregation might not always be possible for every single project.