Climate Investment Funds

July 13, 2010 (Revised)

Summary of the Co-Chairs Forest Investment Program Sub-Committee Meeting

June 24, 2010

Co-Chairs

Mr. Jean-Bernard Carrasco, Australia Mr. Rogerio Studart, Brazil

Opening of the Meeting

1. The meeting of the FIP Sub-Committee was opened by Ms. Patricia Bliss-Guest, Program Manager, CIF Administrative Unit.

Election of Co-Chairs

2. The Sub-Committee elected Mr. Rogerio Studart, Brazil, and Mr. Jean-Bernard Carrasco, Australia, to serve as Co-Chairs of the FIP Sub-Committee from the current meeting until December 31, 2010.

Adoption of the Agenda

3. The meeting adopted the provisional agenda set forth in document FIP/SC.4/1/Rev.1.

FIP Operational Guidelines

4. The FIP Sub-Committee reviewed document FIP/SC.4/3/Rev.1, *FIP Operational Guidelines*, and approves the document, subject to the changes agreed by the Sub-Committee. The Sub-Committee requests the CIF Administrative Unit to finalize the document by making the agreed revisions, circulate the final document to the FIP Sub-Committee, and post the document on the CIF website.

FIP Investment Criteria and Financing Modalities

5. The FIP Sub-Committee, having reviewed document FIP /SC.4/4/Rev.1, *FIP Investment Criteria and Financing Modalities*, approves the FIP financing modalities, subject to the changes agreed by the Sub-Committee. The Sub-Committee requests the CIF Administrative Unit to finalize the document by making the agreed revisions, circulate the final document to the FIP Sub-Committee, and post the document on the CIF website.

6. The FIP Sub-Committee requests the CIF Administrative Unit, in consultation with the MDB Committee, to prepare a paper exploring options to facilitate quality review of investment plans and strategies to be supported by SCF funding. The options paper should be circulated to the SCF Trust Fund Committee and Sub-Committees for review and consideration at their meetings in November 2010.

FIP Logic Model

7. The FIP Sub-Committee reviewed document FIP/SC.4/5, *FIP Logic Model*, and agrees that the proposed logic model provides a basis for developing the FIP Results Framework. Sub-Committee Members are invited to submit additional written comments on the FIP logic model to the CIF Administrative Unit by July 16, 2010.

8. Taking into account comments from FIP Sub-Committee members provided during the meeting and subsequent comments that may be submitted in writing, the CIF Administrative

Unit, working in collaboration with the MDB Committee, is requested to prepare a draft FIP Results Framework for review and approval by mail by the SCF Trust Fund Committee in September 2010. The CIF Administrative Unit is requested to submit the FIP Results Framework to the FIP Sub-Committee for review and comment before it is submitted to the SCF Trust Fund Committee.

Enhancing Cooperation and Seeking Coherence between REDD+ Institutions to Support Countries REDD+ Efforts

9. The FIP Sub-Committee reviewed document FIP/SC.4/6, *Enhancing Cooperation and Seeking Coherence between REDD+ Institutions to Support Countries REDD+ Efforts*, and welcomes the progress made in identifying collaborative and coherent arrangements among REDD+ institutions and organizations for effectively addressing REDD+ at the country and global level.

10. The Sub-Committee agrees that, based on a review and comments from the governing bodies of the FIP, FCPF and UN-REDD Programme, the document should be revised with a view to submitting a proposed final document for review and approval by a joint meeting of the governing bodies of FCPF, FIP and UN-REDD. In preparing a revised document, the three programs are requested to consult with, and seek the engagement of, other interested REDD+ initiatives.

11. FIP Sub-Committee Members are invited to submit written comments on the document to the CIF Administrative Unit by July 16, 2010.

12. The FIP Sub-Committee invites the Secretariats of the three initiatives to organize a joint meeting of the governing bodies of FCPF, FIP and UN-REDD on November 6, 2010, in Washington, D.C.

Report of FIP Expert Group: Recommendations for Pilots under the FIP

13. The Sub-Committee welcomes the presentation by the Co-Chair of the FIP Expert Group on the work of the group and the group's report (FIP/SC.4/7, *Report of FIP Expert Group: Recommendations for Additional Pilots under the FIP*) and expresses its appreciation for the work that has been carried out by the group. The Sub-Committee Members note that the Expert Group report provides a solid, analytical basis for selecting additional pilot programs to be financed by the FIP.¹

Selection of FIP Pilots

14. The FIP Sub-Committee takes note of the letters from the five FIP pilot countries, selected by the Sub-Committee in March 2010, confirming their interest to participate in the FIP (document FIP/SC.4/Inf.2, *Confirmation of Interest of Selected FIP Pilot Countries*).

¹ The Sub-Committee member from Romania expressed his dissatisfaction with the work of the FIP Expert Group.

15. With regard to the confirmation of interest to participate in the FIP submitted by Ghana and the interest expressed by Liberia to collaborate with Ghana, the Sub-Committee confirms that Ghana has been selected as a single pilot country and that the Sub-Committee expects FIP resources to be used to finance investments and other activities in Ghana. The Sub-Committee welcomes Liberia's interest in sharing knowledge with Ghana on the implementation of its pilot program and encourages Ghana and the MDBs to utilize resources available through the Ghana program for knowledge management to promote such knowledge sharing.

16. Based on the recommendations proposed by the FIP Expert Group, the Sub-Committee approves the following three additional country pilots to be financed by the FIP². The Sub-Committee notes that the three pilots meet the criteria and other considerations approved by the Sub-Committee:

- (a) Brazil
- (b) Democratic Republic of Congo
- (c) Mexico

17. The FIP Sub-Committee requests the CIF Administrative Unit to communicate to all countries that previously expressed interest to be considered as a pilot under the FIP, the results of the selection process and to clarify, where appropriate, the eligibility criteria agreed in the *SCF Governance Framework* and the *FIP Design Document*.

18. If, in the future, resources become available that would permit transformational impacts to be achieved in additional countries in accordance with paragraph 15 of the *FIP Design Document*, the FIP Sub-Committee may decide to consider additional pilots. If this occurs, the expert group report (document FIP/SC.4/7) should be taken as the basis for further discussion together with other relevant information.

Other Business

19. The FIP Sub-Committee requests the CIF Administrative Unit, in collaboration with the MDB Committee, to prepare a document outlining options for allocating FIP resources to the pilot countries. The document should be submitted to the Sub-Committee for review and approval at its next meeting in November 2010. The principles for allocating FIP resources should be simple and pragmatic, and should provide sufficient guidance to enable the pilot countries and MDBs to develop FIP investment strategies.

Closing

20. The meeting was closed on June 24, 2010.

² The Sub-Committee Member from Denmark expressed concern that an OECD member country was among the pilots selected by the Sub-Committee.