

CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

Summary of discussion Measuring results from investments in climate resiliency

PPCR pilot countries meeting

March 13, 2012



Country experiences – Common challenges

CIF

PPCR results framework and SPCR M&E section – Measurement and Indicators

- ❖ Changes in investment can be measured, but may not reflect policy change (both may need to be measured)
- ❖ Difficult to measure change in resilience to extreme events (subject + proxy methods are required)
- ❖ Difficult to measure number of people affected by climate change/variability
- ❖ Measuring institutional change can be done but making changes is difficult in some countries
- ❖ MDGs and standard of living index measured at different points in each country
- ❖ Zambia: The tracking is taking place at budget and financing level and nothing else
- ❖ Baseline data: outdated, expensive to procure, lack of data (measure, reporting)

Country experiences – Common challenges

CIF

PPCR results framework and SPCR M&E section – Capacity

- ❖ Lack of capacity to utilize and measure indicators
- ❖ Bolivia: Lack of capacity in M&E in general
- ❖ Bolivia: There is no budgetary tracking tool

PPCR results framework and SPCR M&E section – Alignment

- ❖ Aligning project and PPCR indicators to country level indicators is a challenge
- ❖ Difficulty in aligning agreed results framework with implementation and procurement plans
- ❖ Confusion of measurement level – output level/activity level instead of outcome/results level

Country experiences – Common challenges

CIF

PPCR results framework and SPCR M&E section – Guidance

- ❖ There is a need for clear methodology for measuring “global” indicators
- ❖ How to integrate various stakeholders into M&E process
- ❖ No specific time lines for reporting

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework

CIF

Revising the PPCR Results Framework – general observations

- statements are too wordy!
- Some results statements are similar: “Increased capacity ...” vs. “Increased resilience ...”
- Clarity and guidelines – Clear guidelines are needed to outline better the responsibilities of CIF/MDB/Pilot country

Changing the CIF Final Outcome statement – The PPCR is about “Climate resilient development”

Recommendation: **Remove the low carbon development reference**

PPCR Transformative Impact

Recommendation: **Timeframe should be lengthened to 20 years**

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework

CIF

Revising the PPCR Results Framework – editorial changes

PPCR Program outcomes

Recommendation: Move the “improved institutional structure & processes” indicator to project/program output (no clear agreement within pilot countries)

PPCR Program/project outputs

Recommendation: New and additional resources – Reverse order:
“Increased other public and private ...”

Pilot Countries feedback – Revised Results Framework

CIF

Revising the PPCR Results Framework – revise logic model


PPCR Program outcomes

Recommendation: Move the “improved institutional structure & processes” indicator to project/program output (no clear agreement within pilot countries)

PPCR Program/project outputs

Recommendation: New and additional resources – Reverse order:
“Increased other public and private ...”

Pilot Program for Climate Resilience (PPCR) – REVISED logic model



Managing for Results – working together

CIF

Thank you!