

Sent by Mark Palu, AUSAID, 4/09/2009

----- Forwarded by CIF Admin Unit/Service/World Bank on 04/09/2009 11:42 AM -----

"Mark Palu"
<Mark.Palu@ausaid.gov.au>
04/06/2009 06:04 AM

To <cifadminunit@worldbank.org>
cc <Pblissguest@worldbank.org>, <gdieterle@worldbank.org>, <pverkooijen@worldbank.org>
Subje FW: Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the
ct FIP - March 5-6, 2009 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Patricia

Thank you for the copy of the Co-Chairs summary of the FIP second design meeting and the revised FIP design document.

Attached are Australia's comments on the revised FIP design document.

We look forward to receiving the next version of the design document in advance of the Third Design Meeting.

kind regards
Mark Palu

Mark Palu
Director
Forest Carbon and Biodiversity

From: Robin Davies
Sent: 17 March 2009 9:28 AM
To: Mark Palu; Alexander Marks
Subject: FW: Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the FIP - March 5-6, 2009 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

From: cifadminunit@worldbank.org [mailto:cifadminunit@worldbank.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 9:27 AM
To: SCF_TFC@worldbank.org; SCF-TFC_CC@worldbank.org
Cc: Pblissguest@worldbank.org; gdieterle@worldbank.org; pverkooijen@worldbank.org
Subject: Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the FIP - March 5-6, 2009

Dear SCF Trust Fund Committee Member,

At the last meeting of the SCF Trust Fund Committee, you reviewed a document regarding the

development of new targeted programs under the SCF, including the development of the Forest Investment Program (FIP). Based on the Committee's review, it was agreed that the following steps should be undertaken to complete the design of the FIP:

- a) the Second Design Meeting for the FIP should be convened in Washington, on March 5-6.
- b) the document agreed at the Second Design Meeting should be circulated for review and written comments. The design document should then be revised, on the basis of the comments received, and disseminated in advance of the Third Design Meeting to be convened in Washington on May 7-8, 2009.
- c) the final design document should be submitted to the SCF Trust Fund Committee for its review and approval at its meeting to be held during the week of May 11, 2009.

The Second Design Meeting on the FIP was convened in Washington on March 5-6. Please find enclosed a copy of the Co-Chair's Summary of the meeting. The summary includes, as an annex, the draft design document for the FIP that was agreed by the meeting. This document is also posted on our website www.worldbank.org/cif.

In order to advance our work, we would like to invite you to submit to us by **March 30, 2009**, any comments that you may have on the proposed text. We would appreciate it if your comments would be focused on specific drafting amendments/additions/deletions.

Taking into account the comments received, the Administrative Unit will prepare, for consideration at the final design meeting, a revised document intended to promote a final consensus.

We look forward to receiving any comments that you may wish to submit.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Bliss-Guest
Program Manager, Administrative Unit
Climate Investment Funds

(sent by Perpetual Boateng)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please delete the email and any attachments and notify the sender.

The Commonwealth does not warrant that any attachments are free from viruses or any other defects. You assume all liability for any loss, damage or other consequences which may arise from opening or using the attachments.



**Australian comments on the draft FIP design document included as an annex to the Co-Chairs
summary of 11 March 2009**

Coordination with other mechanisms

We welcome the additional language in paragraph 12 (d) about sharing lessons between the FIP and other REDD initiatives. Paper CIF/DMFIP.2/Inf.3 of 3 March 2009 on FIP complementarity with FCPF and UN-REDD is useful in providing greater clarity about the role of the FIP in relation to FCPF and UN-REDD.

Effective coordination between FIP, FCPF and UN-REDD is essential and the design document should provide as much detail as possible on how this coordination will be managed in practice (recognising that this is also an issue which needs to be effectively pursued by the governing bodies of each program). In particular, paragraph 12(d) should make reference to the intention for the FIP to build on the readiness work funded by the FCPF, UN-REDD and other initiatives (refer last sentence of paragraph 8 of Paper CIF/DMFIP.2/Inf.3 of 3 March 2009).

Sub-Committee Membership

Paragraph 16 (c) (bracketed text) – We do not support that the representatives from IPs, NGOs and the private sector should be members of the Sub-Committee We suggest they should have active observer status, as in other CIF governing bodies.

Indigenous Peoples and local communities initiative (Section IX)

We are unclear about the intent of the new bracketed text in paragraph 32. We suggest that the CIF Administrative Unit prepare a Terms of Reference in consultation with relevant stakeholders for discussion at the final design meeting on 7-8 May.